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I. Tax Treaty Disputes: The Current Landscape in BRICS

1. Dynamic development of the *judicial doctrines connected with the taxation situations* for 2007-2018 in BRICS countries:
   - application of the thin capitalization rules, transfer pricing rules and the owner concept;
   - recognition of the permanent establishment of a foreign enterprise in cross-border taxation regimes of certain categories of passive incomes; and
   - aspects of the application of international tax treaties;

2. Case law do not always correspond to the essence of a legal issue connected with the light of the OECD /UN Models and the relevant commentaries to the Models;

3. Provisions of the BEPS Action Plan have been consistently implemented in the domestic tax legislation (TP rules; CFC rules; beneficial owner; GAAR; and tax legislation of other BRICS countries);

4. Increased use of other *non-double tax treaties* (Treaty on EAEU; individual treaties, etc.);

5. Lack of tax arbitrage clauses, but *application of other remedies* – arbitration, Energy Charter instruments, etc.
II. Dispute Resolution under a Mutual Agreement Procedure: State of the Art or Fundamentally Broken

1. MAP is not implemented in the Russian legal system;
2. There are *special measures or instruments to avoid or settle an international tax dispute*:
   - Trilateral Advance pricing agreements (APAs) with regard to transfer pricing cases;
   - the right of the Russian tax resident to recognize himself as a "person" in CFC cases;
   - the right of the foreign organization to lodge an application to the tax authority to be regarded as a Russian tax resident or to cease to be the tax resident;
   - tax services (electronic "VAT office");
   - tax monitoring, etc.;
3. Federal Tax Service allows *amicable agreements with taxpayers in freedom of contract* (see, e.g. Ruling of the Presidium of the Higher Arbitration Tribunal of 27.07.2011, No. 16370/11, etc.).
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III. The Experience with Arbitration in International Tax Disputes

1. Almost all Russian DTTs comprise MAP;
2. Tax arbitration clause is contained only in the DTT with the USA and is allowed in DTTs with Switzerland, Belgium and Japan (2000); clause is included in a DTT with a third party;
3. *Alternative dispute resolution mechanisms* in order to resolve related cross-border disputes:
   - European Court of Human Rights;
   - Investment Arbitration and Commercial Arbitration Courts tried by the International Commercial Court (at the RF Chamber; Stockholm Commercial Court);
4. Russia has no experience with arbitration in international tax disputes.
IV. The New Framework for Arbitration in Tax Matters

1. Russia was one of the first countries which joined the instrument and expressed its desire to cover 66 tax treaties;
2. The provisions of the Multilateral Instrument will not cover the agreements with Sweden and Japan (2017) as separate negotiations are being conducted in these countries in respect of concluding new treaties with the norms of the Multilateral instrument;
3. Russia has not expressed a desire to apply the arbitration procedure framework of the Multilateral Instrument;
4. It is expected that the new Russian bilateral treaties will also incorporate the respective arbitration provisions.
V. The Players in Arbitration: Arbitrators, Competent Authorities, Taxpayers and Their Advisers

1. In Russia there is no special institution of independent third parties contributing to the resolution of international tax disputes between taxpayers and tax authorities in tax disputes between states.

2. The Business-Ombudsman or the Ombudsman for Human Rights hypothetically participate in its resolution;

3. Other experts are called to help resolve disputes in various branches of legislation, including tax relations (consultative councils within state and organs; legal expert in the Constitutional Court of the RF; etc.)
VI. The Tax Mediation

1. In Russian legislation Mediation is defined as:
   “a method of dispute resolution with the help of a mediator based on the consent of the parties to the achievement of a mutually acceptable solution by the parties”;

2. In Russian legislation (and in legislation of other BRICS countries) there is no formal definition of “tax mediation”;

3. Arbitration courts recommend the transfer of disputes for resolution in the court or in mediation and even provide venues for these procedures (by way of example, in 2014 the dispute was settled in 0.007% of cases considered by courts in the jurisdiction and in 0.003% of cases considered by arbitration courts);

4. Tax disputes in Russia before they are tried by the court shall pass a pre-trial stage;

5. The Russian Chamber of Tax Consultants as a prospect for the tax practice in Russia.
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VII. Procedural Issues of Tax Mediation

1. Basic requirements for the future tax mediation:
   - Mediators should be natural persons;
   - High level of personal responsibility;
   - Interference in the work of the mediator should be prohibited;
   - Secrecy of a mediation procedure protected by the law;
   - Prohibition of removing the documents and information submitted to the mediator during a mediation procedure by parties;
   - Legal expertise (degree) and/or professional training for mediators;
   - Financing mediators from the state budget to ensure impartiality.

2. Basic requirements for the mediation agreement: Matters and/or or legal issues? Preconditions and limitations; Legal mediation decision; Mediation costs.
VIII. Outlook: The Future of The Resolution of Tax Disputes in BRICS

1. Russia, in general, has not taken on the MLI obligations in respect of tax arbitration (like other BRICS states);
2. Though the implementation of MLI is slow, officials of the Russian Federation participate in most developments (OECD, JITSIC SPOC Meeting);
3. There are APAs experience on TP cases (7 agreements in oil, 5 agreements in air transport);
4. Despite there is no tax arbitration experience, Russia still participates in international mechanisms for resolving tax disputes (International Arbitration Tribunal; Tax Dispute Resolution within the Regional Organizations (EAEU, BRICS, etc.).
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